Message

From: Mcgill, Thomas [Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov]

Sent: 9/23/2020 9:56:29 PM

To: Pugh, Katherine [Pugh.Katherine@epa.gov]

CC: Laycock, Kelly [Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov]; Calli, Rosemary [Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: Miccosukee consultation

Thanks Katie, and we appreciate your support! I'll follow-up with a meeting request to the RA's office, but I have a few follow-up questions...

Do you know whether Belinda has penciled us in for 3:00 on the 8th?

- Do you know whether the Miccosukee Tribe is OK with us sending a Teams invitation?

- Do you know who from the Miccosukee Tribe that we should invite?

From: Pugh, Katherine <Pugh.Katherine@epa.gov> **Sent:** Wednesday, September 23, 2020 5:04 PM **To:** Mcgill, Thomas <Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov>

Cc: Laycock, Kelly <Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov>; Calli, Rosemary <Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Miccosukee consultation

Very good, I look forward to seeing it. I know y'all are working so hard on this and you're doing a great job!

From: Mcgill, Thomas < Mcgill. Thomas@epa.gov > Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2020 5:00 PM To: Pugh, Katherine < Pugh. Katherine@epa.gov >

Cc: Laycock, Kelly < Laycock, Kelly@epa.gov>; Calli, Rosemary < Calli, Rosemary@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Miccosukee consultation

It's a work in progress, and we won't have it done before tomorrow morning. We'll plan to share it once it's ready, and it's informed by a good discussion we had with Eve today.

From: Pugh, Katherine < Pugh. Katherine@epa.gov > Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2020 4:54 PM To: Mcgill, Thomas@epa.gov >

Cc: Laycock, Kelly < Laycock, Kelly@epa.gov >; Calli, Rosemary < Calli, Rosemary@epa.gov >

Subject: RE: Miccosukee consultation

Will do. I'll let you know as soon as I hear from him. Have y'all prepared talking points and/or a Run of Show for the meeting with Mary tomorrow afternoon?

From: Mcgill, Thomas < Mcgill. Thomas@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2020 4:53 PM
To: Pugh, Katherine < Pugh. Katherine@epa.gov>

Cc: Laycock, Kelly <Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov>; Calli, Rosemary <Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Miccosukee consultation

Yes, thanks Katie.

From: Pugh, Katherine < Pugh. Katherine@epa.gov > Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2020 4:51 PM To: Mcgill, Thomas < Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov >

Subject: RE: Miccosukee consultation

Mary is free at 3. Should I propose that time to Kevin Donaldson?

From: Mcgill, Thomas < Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2020 3:52 PM

To: Pugh, Katherine < Pugh.Katherine@epa.gov>; Calli, Rosemary < Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov>; Laycock, Kelly < Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov>; Creswell, Michael < Creswell.Michael@epa.gov>; Hicks, Matt < Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov>;

Armor, Suzanne < Armor.Suzanne@epa.gov >; Clark, Maria < Clark.Maria@epa.gov >

Subject: RE: Miccosukee consultation

Katie -1 just learned Jeaneanne is only available 11:00-12:45 and at 3:00 on Oct 8, and she would very much like to be involved in the meeting. Hopefully one of these times will work. Thanks! Tom

From: Mcgill, Thomas

Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2020 3:37 PM

To: Pugh, Katherine <<u>Pugh.Katherine@epa.gov</u>>; Calli, Rosemary <<u>Calli.Rosemary@epa.gov</u>>; Laycock, Kelly <<u>Laycock.Kelly@epa.gov</u>>; Creswell, Michael <<u>Creswell.Michael@epa.gov</u>>; Hicks, Matt <<u>Hicks.Matthew@epa.gov</u>>; Armor, Suzanne@epa.gov>; Clark, Maria <<u>Clark.Maria@epa.gov</u>>

Subject: RE: Miccosukee consultation

Thanks Katie, Oct 8 works for me, and I'm waiting to hear back from the Division Front Office on their ability to participate (Jeaneanne's calendar is currently full). In the meantime I have a few questions...

- Is the reason for trying to include Mary in the meeting because they asked her to participate, or is it because the precedent has been set with the Seminoles for engaging the RA in consultation discussions with Tribes on assumption?
- Regarding the Choctaw Nation of Oklahoma's request to consult, do you think it's important for the RA to be included, or can we proceed without the RA as our discussion is limited to NHPA?
- Do you think 1.5 hours is appropriate regarding the length of the meeting, or should we leave that to the Miccosukee Tribe?

From: Pugh, Katherine < Pugh.Katherine@epa.gov > Sent: Wednesday, September 23, 2020 3:06 PM

To: Mcgill, Thomas < Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov">Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov; Calli, Rosemary < Mcgill.Thomas@epa.gov; Laycock, Kelly@epa.gov; Creswell, Michael Mcgill.Rosemary@epa.gov; Hicks, Matt Hicks, Matt Hicks, Matt Mcgill.Rosemary@epa.gov; Hicks, Matt Hicks, Matt Hicks, Matt Mcgill.Rosemary@epa.gov; Hicks, Matt Hicks, Matt Mcgill.Rosemary@epa.gov; Clark, Maria Hicks, Mcgill.Rosemary@epa.gov; Clark, Maria Mcgill.Rosemary@epa.gov; Clark, Maria Mcgill.Rosemary@epa.gov; Clark, Maria Mcgill.Rosemary@epa.gov; Clark, Mcgill.Rosemary@epa.gov; Clark, Mcgill.Rosemary@epa.gov; Clark, Mcgill.Rosemary@epa.gov; Clark,

Subject: Miccosukee consultation

Hello all,

Kevin from the Miccosukee Tribe called to suggest 10/8 for the consultation on FL 404 assumption. He said they'd prefer morning, but could do afternoon if morning doesn't work for folks. How does that date look for everyone? The Business Review meeting is 9-11. I have inquired with Belinda as to Mary's schedule. Ideally we'd have her on since she will be on the Seminole consultation.

Thanks,

Katie

Katherine Pugh, MS Regional Indian Program Coordinator US EPA Region 4 404-562-8351